FY 2006 RANKING CRITERIA WORKSHEET- GRAZING LANDS Mora FIELD OFFICE

Applicant:		Farm No	Tract No	CMS Field No's.	Date: _	
Tribal Land	Non-Tribal La	nd		Preliminary Rating	Final Rating	

1. Plants - 60 Potential Points

Note: Instructions on separate sheet %		% Area in Contract Before Treatment		% Area in Contract After Treatment.		Potential Points	Bench- mark Points	After Points		
Rangelands:	SI of 76-1 00 w/trend	d up or not apparent	%	+	+	_ =	%	60		
Ecological	SI of 51-75 with upw	ard trend	%	+	+	_ =	%	50		
Site	SI of 51-75 with dow	nward trend	%	+	+	_ =	%	40		
Similarity	SI of 26-50 with upward trend		%	+	+	_ =	%	30		
Index	SI of 26-50 with downward trend		%	+	+	_ =	%	20		
(SI)*	SI of 0-25 with upward trend		%	+	+	_ =	%	10		
	SI of 0-25 with downward trend		%	+	+		%	0		
Riparian:	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark:	%	% Qualit	y After:		%	60		
Grazed Forest:	NA	% Quality Bench Mark:	%	% Qualit	y After:		%	60		·
		1. Plants Total	100%	Total			100%	Total:		

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 305 Potential Points

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Soil			
Classic Gully, Sheet & Rill, Streambank	10		
Critical Area Planting - 342	10		
Grade Stabilization - 410	10		
Streambank and shoreline Protection - 580	10		
Water Quantity			
Excessive Runnoff, Ponding Sub Surface Water			
Brush Management - Light - 314	20		
Brush Management - Medium - 314	40		
Brush Management - Heavy - 314	60		
Water Quality			
Excessive Suspended Sediment and Turbidity			
Riparian forest Buffer - 392	10		
Tree and Shrub Establishment - 612	10		
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation - 650	10		
Plants			
Adapted or Suited, Productivity, Health, Vigor, and Forage Quality			
Prescribed Burning - 338	10		
Fence - 382	10		-
Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment - 548	5		
Range Planting - 550	5		
Animal Trails - 575	5		

FY 2006 RANKING CRITERIA WORKSHEET- GRAZING LANDS Mora FIELD OFFICE

Animals		
Domestic and Wildlife Cover/Shelter and Inadiquate Food/Water		
Single Pasture Plus Water Development	30	
Two Pastures Plus Water Development	40	
Three Pastures Plus Water Development	50	
Practices Include 516, 533, 614, 642 - (5 Points Each)	5	
Pond 378, Spring Development 574 - (5 Points Each)	5	
2. Conservation Practice Selection	Total:	·

3. Other Considerations - 50 Potential Points

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them as item E.	Potential Points	Bench- mark Points	After Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced.	5		
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	5		
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 309 project.	5		
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	5		
E. An Extensive Grazing SystemWill Be Initiated (Rested>70% of the Time During Grazing Season)	30		
E. An Extensive Grazing System Will Be initiated (Rested 25% to 70% of the Time During Grazing Season)	20		
3. Other Considerations	Total:		

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Section 1	Section 2	Section 3	Total for Worksheet	*
*A minimum of total points is required to be cor	nsidered for contrac	t selection.		
Designated Conservationist	Date	_	Revised Nov.	2004